



File No. EA2019-112

CITY OF RICHLAND
Determination of Non-Significance

Description of Proposal: Barghausen Consulting Engineers, Inc. is proposing to replace three (3) existing 10,000-gallon underground fuel storage tanks with two (2) new 15,000-gallon underground fuel storage tanks.

Proponent: The Southland Corp– Owner
Barghausen Consulting Engineers, Inc. - Applicant

Location of Proposal: The project site is located at 2411 George Washington Way, Richland, WA. (APN 1-26083000003003).

Lead Agency: City of Richland

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public on request.

() There is no comment for the DNS.

(X) This DNS is issued under WAC 197-11-340(2); the lead agency will not act on this proposal for fourteen days from the date of issuance.

() This DNS is issued after using the optional DNS process in WAC 197-11-355. There is no further comment period on the DNS.

Responsible Official: Mike Stevens

Position/Title: Planning Manager

Address: 505 Swift Blvd., MS #35, Richland, WA 99352

Date: May 3, 2019

Signature 

SEPA ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of checklist:

Governmental agencies use this checklist to help determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant. This information is also helpful to determine if available avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures will address the probable significant impacts or if an environmental impact statement will be prepared to further analyze the proposal.

Instructions for applicants:

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Please answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. You may need to consult with an agency specialist or private consultant for some questions. You may use "not applicable" or "does not apply" only when you can explain why it does not apply and not when the answer is unknown. You may also attach or incorporate by reference additional studies reports. Complete and accurate answers to these questions often avoid delays with the SEPA process as well as later in the decision- making process.

The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

Instructions for Lead Agencies:

Please adjust the format of this template as needed. Additional information may be necessary to evaluate the existing environment, all interrelated aspects of the proposal and an analysis of adverse impacts. The checklist is considered the first but not necessarily the only source of information needed to make an adequate threshold determination. Once a threshold determination is made, the lead agency is responsible for the completeness and accuracy of the checklist and other supporting documents.

Use of checklist for nonproject proposals:

For nonproject proposals (such as ordinances, regulations, plans and programs), complete the applicable parts of sections A and B plus the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (part D). Please completely answer all questions that apply and note that the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proponent," and "affected geographic area," respectively. The lead agency may exclude (for non-projects) questions in Part B - Environmental Elements –that do not contribute meaningfully to the analysis of the proposal.

A. Background

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:

7-Eleven No. 25412 - UST Tank Replacement Project

2. Name of applicant:

Barghausen Consulting Engineers, Inc.

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

**Pat Hopper, Barghausen Consulting Engineers, Inc.
18215 72nd Avenue South
Kent, WA 98032**

4. Date checklist prepared:

April 11, 2019

5. Agency requesting checklist:

City of Richland, Washington

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

Once Construction Permits are obtained, the project will move forward with demolition and construction. Total Demolition to grand opening: 12 weeks.

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

There are no plans for future additions, expansion or further activity related to this proposal.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

No environmental information has been prepared related to this proposal.

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

To the best of our knowledge, there are no other applications pending government approvals affecting the property at this time.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

City of Richland, Washington

- **SEPA determination**
- **Commercial Building Permit**
- **Sign Permit**
- **Electrical permit**

Benton County Clean Air Agency

- **Proof of Contact - Soil Destabilization**
- **Notice of Construction - Installation/Modification of an Air Pollution Source**

Washington State - Business Licensing Service

- **Device Registration**
- **Underground Storage Tank Addendum**

Washington State Department of Ecology

- **Underground Storage Tank – 30-Day Notification - Removal and Installation**

Washington State Department of Agriculture

- **Placed in Service Report**

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency - Region 10

- **Initial Notification for Gasoline Dispensing Facilities**
- **Notification of Performance Testing**
- **Notification of Compliance Status for Gasoline Dispensing Facilities**

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.)

The project site is an existing 7-Eleven Convenience Market and Fuel Station. The site is approximately .69 acres. The existing uses will remain. The project scope includes the removal of the existing three (3) 10,000 gallon Underground Storage Tanks (UST) and replacing them by installing two (2) new 15,000 gallon USTs. The fuel piping system will be replaced, as well as the existing fuel dispensers. The existing fuel canopy will remain.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

The site is located at 2411 George Washington Way, Richland, Washington 99354. Please refer to the submitted plan set for the Vicinity Map.

B. Environmental Elements

1. Earth

- a. General description of the site:

Flat, rolling, ~~hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other~~

- b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

The existing development exhibits slopes ranging from 2 to 10 percent.

- c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

Per the Benton County GIS maps, the site is comprised of the following soils: Burbank loamy fine sand, type BbD, and Finley fine sandy loam, type FeB.

- d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

There is not any known history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity.

- e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

The proposed project intends to install and construct new underground storage tanks along with applicable source control measures as required by applicable codes. The intended scope includes the removal of existing underground storage tanks (USTs) followed by the installation of new USTs. The new USTs will be installed

in the same location as the existing tanks. The intended grading quantities will consist of the material displaced by the tank excavations/installation, equipment installation, and installation of source control measures.

Overall, the site should be balanced as the intention is to install two new tanks and remove three existing tanks (thus filling the existing tank hole). The total cut/fill for this project should amount to approximately 1000 cubic yards of cut and 1000 cubic yards of fill respectively. Total amount of earthwork will be approximately 2000 cubic yards.

The site will largely match the same grading scheme in the developed site, with the exception of the fueling pad which is graded to be ADA compliant.

- f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

Erosion is a possibility during construction activity, but since grading and land disturbing activities are extremely limited under this proposal, the erosion potential is extremely low. The site will implement Erosion Control Measures as necessary to limit the erosion potential.

- g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

There will be no change in the impervious surface areas as part of this proposal. Current percentages of the site's impervious surface is approximately 78 percent.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

Erosion Control Measures to be implemented include the use of catch basin inlet protection to limit the erosion potential into existing conveyance systems and silt fence to protect adjacent property and soil from potential contamination.

2. Air

- a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

The proposed project would not result in significant impacts to existing air quality. The primary air quality impacts would be related to the construction activities, future customer vehicular traffic, service and delivery vehicles.

During Construction: Construction equipment that uses gasoline or diesel fuels that emit exhaust fumes will be used at the project site. The emissions will be temporary and will not have lasting or harmful effects on the project site or adjacent properties. Airborne dust may be generated during the earthwork phase of the project depending on weather conditions. Dust produced during the earthwork phase may be reduced by a number of techniques. The perimeter filter fabric fence installed in accordance with the TESC plan will assist in controlling dust. During dry weather, the areas of exposed soils may be sprayed with water. Soils transported out of the construction area by truck tires may be minimized by the use of the temporary construction entrance and wheel washing. To control soils that escape the construction site on trucks, a daily street cleaning would help minimize accumulated dust on surface streets. After any earthwork is complete, minimal dust is anticipated during the subsequent phases of construction.

Post Construction: The fueling facility will emit gasoline vapors into the atmosphere, however these emissions will be controlled by on site Stage I & stage II vapor recovery system approved by the California Air Resources Board (CARB).

- b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

Aside from vehicular exhaust originating with traffic on the adjacent right-of-ways, no off-site sources of emissions and/or odors have been identified.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

The project will comply with the Benton Clean Air Agency requirements to control emissions or other impacts to air, including requirements for vapor recovery and notifications for construction/maintenance of gas station facilities and dust and soil destabilization notifications.

3. Water

- a. Surface Water:

- 1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

No surface water body is identified on or in the immediate vicinity of the site.

- 2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

This item is not applicable; NO surface water body is identified on or in the immediate vicinity of the site.

- 3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

None.

- 4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

The proposal will not require surface water withdrawals or diversions.

- 5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

According to the City of Richland GIS maps, the proposal does not lie within the 100-year flood plain.

- 6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

The proposal will not discharge waste materials into surface waters.

- b. Ground Water:

- 1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

Groundwater will not be withdrawn for the project and no water will be discharged to groundwater as a part of the project.

- 2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals. . . ; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

No waste material will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources.

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

- 1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

Stormwater will be collected in the existing catch basins present on site. However, the proposed fuel tank drive slab will be hydraulically isolated and will drain to the public sewer system after water has been treated by an oil/water separator.

- 2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

No waste materials will enter ground or surface waters.

- 3) Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

No, the site and surrounding properties are existing developments. The proposed renovations will not alter or affect existing drainage patterns.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any:

The proposed development includes an oil/water separator to comply with source control requirements. The project does not propose any new drainage infrastructure that would otherwise alter existing drainage patterns.

4. Plants

- a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:

**shrubs
grass**

- b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

No changes are proposed to the existing landscape. No vegetation will be removed or altered.

- c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

No known threatened or endangered species are known to be on or near the site.

- d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

No changes are proposed to the existing landscaping.

- e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

No known noxious weeds or invasive species are known to be located on the site.

5. Animals.

- a. List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site.

Songbirds.

- b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

To the best of our knowledge, there are no threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

- c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

The project site is located within the Pacific Flyway, a known route of migratory birds, including waterfowl.

- d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

No mitigation measures are proposed.

- e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

There are no invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

6. Energy and Natural Resources

- a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

The primary source of energy will be electricity (lights and equipment).

- b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

No impacts that have been identified that would limit or restrict the use of solar energy on adjacent properties.

- c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

None are proposed.

7. Environmental Health

- a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

Potential environmental health hazards will include exposure to gasoline during refueling of automobiles, risk of fire and potential for contamination of the environment by petroleum products in the unlikely event of a spill or release of fuel from the underground storage tanks, product lines or dispensers. No other extraordinary health risks are foreseen.

- 1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.

Possible contamination at the site includes petroleum presence in the soil from the site's past/present use as a fueling facility business.

- 2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity.

There are three (3) existing 10,000-gallon underground storage tanks and the associated gas transmission/product pipelines located on the project site that are connected to the existing multi product dispensers.

- 3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.

The fueling facility will have a total capacity of 30,000 gallons, in two (2) 15,000 gallon USTs. One tank will store up to 15,000 gallons of unleaded gasoline; the other tank is a split tank storing up to 8,000 gallons of diesel in one compartment and 7,000 gallons of premium gasoline in the other.

- 4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

No special emergency services are anticipated for this project.

- 5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

- **Emergency shutoff switches shall be located at the site in accordance with International Fire Code Standards and local requirements;**
- **Fire extinguishers shall be provided in accordance with International Fire Code Standards and local requirements;**
- **Underground Storage Tanks and product piping constructed to provide double-walled containment;**
- **Underground Storage Tanks constructed out of fiberglass to provide protection against rupture and/or leakage and help prevent corrosive deterioration of the walls, which helps prevent subsequent contamination of surrounding soils;**

- **Interstitial monitoring within the inner walls of the tanks and piping of the underground fuel system to detect any possible leak. Immediately notify the facility operator if they do occur and shut down any faulty portion of the system;**
- **Pressure checking the system to the manufacturer's specifications to detect pressure loss and rectify the problem before covering and paving over tanks;**
- **Additional primary and secondary containment equipment and spill-prevention features, including overfill prevention, gravity return of the undispensed product, flex-joints at tanks, and breakaway/impact valves at the dispensers;**
- **Employees trained in emergency procedures to help avoid the release of fuel into the environment prepared with an on-site hazardous spill prevention plan, and supplied with clean-up kits designed for the facility;**
- **Drivers trained in transport, delivery, safety, and fuel containment procedures; and**
- **Stage I and II vapor recovery systems to reduce the amount of fuel vapor released at the time of fuel deliver to the underground storage tanks (Stage I) and at the fuel dispensers during vehicle fueling (Stage II).**

b. Noise

- 1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

Noise generated in the vicinity of the site generally consists of vehicles traveling on the adjacent rights-of-way, George Washington Way and Spengler Street. This noise is not anticipated to affect the project.

- 2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

On a short term basis, noise associated with construction activity will vary as construction progresses and depending on the equipment that is being used. Aside from minimal noise levels generated by automobile traffic, delivery truck traffic, and/or mechanical/refrigeration equipment, there are no long term sources of noise associated with this project.

- 3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

Standard construction practices and hours will be followed to reduce or control noise impacts associated with this project. Long term noise levels are anticipated to be consistent with the existing conditions at the site.

8. Land and Shoreline Use

- a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.

The current use of the site is a 7-Eleven Convenience Market and Fuel Station. Single-family residences exist to the east and south of the project site. An apartment complex/multifamily housing development exists to the west and north of the project site.

- b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or non-forest use?

To the best of our knowledge, the site has never been used as working farmlands or forest lands.

- 1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

The proposal will not affect or be affected by surrounding agricultural or forestry activities.

- c. Describe any structures on the site

There is an existing 7-Eleven Convenience Market and an existing Fuel Canopy located on the site.

- d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

No structures will be demolished as part of this proposal.

- e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

The site is zoned as C2 - Retail Business.

- f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

The current comprehensive plan designation of the site is commercial.

- g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

Not applicable. The site is not located in shoreline jurisdiction.

- h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify.

According to the City of Richland GIS maps, no part of the site is classified as a critical area.

- i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

There would be no increase or decrease in the number of people working at the completed project.

- j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

No people would be displaced by the completed project.

- k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

None are proposed.

- l. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

The 7-Eleven Convenience Market and Fuel Station are existing uses on this site. No mitigation measures are proposed or required.

- m. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts to agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:

To the best of our knowledge, there are no known nearby agricultural or forest lands of long term commercial significance.

9. Housing

- a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle or low-income housing

Not applicable. No housing units are proposed.

- b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

Not applicable. No housing units are being eliminated.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

Not applicable. This project is for the replacement of existing equipment at a commercial property. No measures are proposed.

10. Aesthetics

- a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

No new structures are proposed for construction at this site as part of this proposal. The existing fuel canopy is approximately 18 feet tall, the existing convenience market is approximately 16 feet tall.

- b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

No views would be altered or obstructed.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

No mitigation measures are proposed or required.

11. Light and Glare

- a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

No light or glare is expected to occur from the associated construction activities. During hours of darkness, there will be building exterior lighting, under canopy lighting, illuminated signage and site/parking lot lighting at levels sufficient to provide safety and security to the employees and customers.

- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

No issues related to lighting have been identified as a safety hazard or visual obstruction.

- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

No existing off-site sources of light or glare are expected to affect the proposal.

- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

Light and glare impacts will be reduced via the design and layout of exterior features.

12. Recreation

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

To our knowledge, there are no designated and/or informal recreational opportunities in the immediate vicinity of the project site.

- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

The proposed project will not displace any existing recreational uses.

- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

No mitigation measures will be necessary as the project will not impact any recreational opportunities.

13. Historic and cultural preservation

- a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers? If so, specifically describe.

None have been identified.

- b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

None have been identified.

- c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.

The site is currently developed and the project scope only includes replacement of the existing fuel tanks and fuel canopy. To the best of our knowledge, no specific studies have been undertaken and no specific efforts aside from field observaion have been conducted to research the probability of cultural, historical, or archaeological resources on site.

- d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.

No measures are being proposed or required.

14. Transportation

- a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

The project site is bound by George Washington Way to the east and Spengler Street to the south. Existing access is proposed to remain.

- b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

According to the Ben Franklin Transit System Map, the project site is served by Bus Route No. 126, which runs north and south on George Washington Way. There are two (2) bus stops located on George Washington Way in the immediate vicinity of the project site; one to the north of the project site, as well as another directly across George Washington Way to the east of the project site.

- c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or non-project proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?

There are no proposed changes to the existing parking lot and the number of existing parking spaces. Fifteen (15) total parking spaces will continue to serve the project site.

- d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

No improvements are required or proposed.

- e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

No. The project will not utilize water, rail or air transport.

- f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and non-passenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?

No increase or decrease in vehicular trips per day is anticipated.

- g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.

No impacts have been identified.

- h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

No mitigation is proposed or required.

15. Public Services [help]

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

No increased need in public services is anticipated.

- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

No mitigation is proposed or required.

16. Utilities

- a. Utilities available at the site include:

Electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer

- b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

The project site is an existing development; the existing utilities will remain.

C. Signature

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: _____

Name of signee: **Patrick L. Hopper**

Position and Agency/Organization: **Assistant Planner, Barghausen Consulting Engineers, Inc.**

Date Submitted: **April 11, 2019**

Community & Economic Development Department

This application was reviewed by the Planning Division of the Community & Economic Development Department. Any comments or changes made by the Department are entered in the body of the checklist and contain initials of the reviewer.

Reviewer Signature

5.3.19
Date